



Ethical Ecosystems in Universities as Engines of Development Governance, Integrity, and Institutional Sustainability

Dr. Dimitra Skandali
Department of Economics
University of Peloponnese

Abstract

Universities are central to knowledge-based economies because they generate research, develop human capital, shape policy agendas, and foster innovation. Yet their contribution to development depends not only on academic output but also on the ethical quality of the institutional environments in which knowledge is produced. This opinion paper argues that ethical ecosystems are foundational to the sustainability, legitimacy, and long-term effectiveness of universities as development-oriented institutions. Drawing on evidence related to university social responsibility, research ethics, artificial intelligence governance, open science, data stewardship, leadership, and performance management, the paper contends that universities must move beyond fragmented compliance practices toward integrated governance architectures. These should align ethical leadership, transparent accountability, inclusive institutional cultures, and responsible innovation with institutional strategy. This paper also emphasizes that governance failures, such as weak ethics oversight, excessive bureaucracy, conflict-of-interest vulnerabilities, and opaque decision-making carry substantial reputational, developmental, and organizational costs. In a globally competitive academic environment, universities that embed integrity, transparency, and sustainability into their governance systems are better positioned to sustain trust, attract talent, and fulfill their wider social mission. The paper concludes by proposing directions for policy and institutional reform.

Keywords: *Ethical Ecosystems; University Governance; Academic Integrity; Sustainable Development; Responsible Innovation*

Introduction

Today's university is no longer a mere institution of learning and research. In the knowledge-based economy, the University is at the heart of innovation, human capital development, problem-solving in the public interest and agenda-setting in policy circles. It is an essential driver of development endeavor, tasked with stimulating economic growth and enabling social mobility whilst protecting the environment. The institution is also charged with applying knowledge for technological advancement and translating research into concrete applications for the benefits of the community.



As universities get increasingly involved in development, the pressure on them to put in good quality institutional governance is increasing. How can a university make a commitment to development while failing to create an ethical environment in which the research, teaching and decision making of the university takes place? In the light of the many global challenges that society is facing, the legitimacy of the universities as centers of knowledge and higher learning in today's world largely depends on their ability to create and manage an ethical environment that promotes transparency, accountability, inclusiveness and responsible conduct in all aspects of their operations.

Since ethical Ecosystems are not metrics or an additional layer to assess the performance of universities, thus sustainable universities need more than a few indicators to measure their productivity and to be leaders in the Higher Education Global Ranking. What they really need is a governance architecture that enables responsible conduct, an ethical orientation, and ensures that values are put into practice.

Universities as Engines of Development

Universities are not confined to being mere learning environments; their roles transcend contributions to economic growth and development. They serve the general interest by generating knowledge with the potential to application. Moreover, they are entrusted with the mission of enhancing societal betterment by equipping future citizens with suitable competencies. The contribution of higher education institutions to long-term economic growth and development have been the principal focus of policy discussions, however, they are increasingly seen as being able to address immediate global challenges to society and the environment (Ramaswamy et al., 2021). Universities are called upon to inform policy, innovation and intervention based on research, in order to address a range of societal issues from healthcare to social inequality and environmental issues such as climate change (Carreño et al., 2025). Higher education institutions can reframe internationalization through the Sustainable Development Goals, framing knowledge creation and learning within the context of societal development (Filho et al., 2023).

This report explores how practices of university social responsibility are developing in response to increasingly complex judgements made about universities from within and outside the sector. Universities are developing and managing in complex new ways, shifting between three core roles



of teaching, research and impact (Guthrie et al., 2024). But the institution of the university itself is also developing, it organizes, legitimizes and distributes knowledge that affects society.

Why Ethical Ecosystems Matter

Universities are critical drivers of development in many regions. As these institutions go about their work, they are operating within an environment or ecosystem made up of a number of ethical factors that together shape the appropriate ethical ecosystem of knowledge production.

Ecological conditions can introduce vulnerabilities in such systems. Research ethics governance in human subject studies can compromise both the interests of research participants and the validity of research findings. In a systematic review of research on research ethics policies and practices in higher education institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa, Dzamesi and colleagues (2025) found that while institutional research ethics policies and guidelines are available, the implementation of these policies is inconsistent and institutions lack the capacity to effectively manage ethics concerns.

Conflicts of interest oversight is also a concern in some of these institutions. Bechoux et al. (2021) reported on several institutions without a conflict of interest policy, or with a policy that is weak and inadequate, leading to significant legitimacy risks, especially in sensitive areas such as education and research, which can be easily influenced from outside stakeholders. The consequences on trust are substantial and are not limited to the department or school but affects the entire organization and brand.

Universities want to be seen as credible public knowledge institutions, and need an 'ecosystem' within which to operate ethically. If they don't, output can continue to rise in the short term, but in the longer term will damage the institution's reputation and sustainability.

Research Ethics and Institutional Oversight

Institutions have formalized their rules regarding research ethics and set up ethics review committees for this purpose. But there is a gap between the formal rules and the actual governance of research ethics in practice. This includes the funding of the governance processes, the effective implementation of the rules and the compliance assessment by the reviewers. The ethics compliance



is strongly determined by the rules, the capacity of institutions and the compliance assessment competency of individual reviewers. Ongoing monitoring and oversight also play a crucial role.

Artificial Intelligence and Responsible Innovation

As AI becomes more prevalent, so too do governance dilemmas arise regarding AI development and use, transparency, privacy and bias concerns that impact the academic integrity of research that has been AI-assisted. These issues cannot be addressed piecemeal with a series of cryptic warnings to faculty and staff. Rather, a thoughtful institutional policy framework is needed to balance the need for innovation with the need for accountability (Azevedo, 2025). This is further supported by the Delphi-based evidence which points to the need for governance, guidance and educational content and curriculum integration related to AI and SD in order to address these governance dilemmas in a responsible manner (Güneş & Kaban, 2025).

Open Science, Data Stewardship, and Transparency

Openness, whether open access or open research data, is enthusiastically recommended for good actors in scholarly communication. However, for openness to be sustainable it needs infrastructure. Institutional open access policy development demonstrates that transparency must be supported by formal mechanisms, organizational learning, and culture change (Moore et al., 2021). In the area of open research data, Stepanovic (2025) shows that data stewardship is essential in translating global policy expectations into locally workable practices. This means that transparency is not simply an aspiration; it is a managed institutional process.

Bureaucracy and Academic Autonomy

Whilst proper accountability is an important consideration in good university governance, excessive bureaucracy can stifle academic freedom and erode professional autonomy. The trend of governance of higher education is evolving, and it is increasingly important to know how these structures are intended to operate in practice to ensure that bureaucracy is not subversive of effectiveness (Pambudi et al., 2025). What higher education needs is not more governance, but better governance.

Ethical Leadership and Whole-Institution Approaches



A sustainable academic ecosystem needs leaders who do more than talk about ethics; they must practice the ethics of transparency, accountability, fairness and learning. Ethics and sustainability must be treated as strategic issues and not as mere compliance issues (Azizi, 2022).

In addition to considering the ethical implications of governing the goals and priorities of universities, ethics must also be considered in the processes of performance management. As more and more universities strive to demonstrate performance against benchmarks and report on Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), there is a growing risk that performance management frameworks are developed to incent good performance on a few narrow indicators of output, but not held accountable for ensuring that universities are fulfilling their core obligations related to teaching and learning quality, student well-being, and research integrity. The effects of performance management systems on a university's priorities are significant (Guthrie et al. 2024). Ethical governance of universities is furthered by ensuring that what a university is measuring is reflective of what a university values.

Ethical Ecosystems and Institutional Sustainability

While having ethical ecosystems is an important moral issue, it is also an organizational and strategic issue for universities from different countries competing for students, researchers, funding and partnerships from around the globe. Their reputation, next to ranking, depends on the quality of their research, the outlets they choose to publish in, their outreach activity, but also on their credibility, fairness and overall good organizational governance practices.

Sustainability requires that people trust key institutions. Trust in institutions is critical in collaborations, when seeking to gain the support of stakeholders, in trying to shape policies, and in recruitment of the best people for positions that can shape society. The governance of a university, the systems it puts in place for managing ethics, and the mechanisms for accountability cannot be simply window dressing. If a university allows practices to persist for a short period of time that threaten its legitimacy, the damage could be long-lasting. Therefore, putting ethics, transparency, and responsible innovation at the heart of the governance structures is critical to building sustainable academic legitimacy.



Ethical ecosystems constitute strategic infrastructure within higher education institutions, through which knowledge is produced, the institutional reputation is protected and universities operate as development engines in a sustainable way. This paper offers a conceptual opinion on how ethical ecosystems function as strategic governance infrastructure within universities and why their integration into institutional strategy is essential for long-term academic sustainability.

Conclusion

Universities have a major contribution to make in the economic, social and intellectual development of society. However, the value of the university is not simply measured by increases in research output or expansion of size: the main value is delivered by universities that create healthy sustainable ethical ecosystems which produce trustworthy knowledge that is worthy of use, inclusive and perceived as legitimate by the wider public.

The evidence reviewed here points to a clear conclusion: ethical governance is not external to academic success. It is one of its preconditions. Research ethics, responsible AI use, open science governance, conflict-of-interest oversight, effective leadership, and proportionate accountability are all part of the same institutional challenge. Universities should therefore invest in governance structures that integrate ethics review, AI oversight, open science policies, and transparent performance management systems into a unified institutional strategy.

References

- Azevedo, L. (2025). Institutional policies on artificial intelligence in higher education: Frameworks and best practices for faculty. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2025(188), 70–78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.70013>



- Azizi, L. (2022). Which leadership processes encourage sustainable transitions within universities? *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 24(1), 46–68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-12-2021-0510>
- Bechoux, L., Vleeschouwer, O., Vanheuverzwijn, C., Verhegghen, F., Detiffe, A., Colle, F., & Thoreau, F. (2021). Conflict of interest policies at Belgian medical faculties: Cross-sectional study indicates little oversight. *PLOS ONE*, 16(2), e0245736. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245736>
- Carreño, C., Vitery, F., & Fonseca, I. (2025). University social responsibility: Perspectives from discourses, policies, and management. *SAGE Open*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251339472>
- Dzamesi, P., Mireku, D., Bervell, B., & Afful, J. (2025). Ethics compliance in Sub-Saharan African higher education research involving human participants: A systematic review of a decade of literature. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 20(5), 286–302. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15562646251383091>
- Filho, W., Weissenberger, S., Luetz, J., Sierra, J., Rampasso, I., Sharifi, A., & Kovaleva, M. (2023). Towards a greater engagement of universities in addressing climate change challenges. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45866-x>
- Guthrie, J., Rossi, F., Orelli, R., & Sforza, V. (2024). Performance management and measurement impacts on universities: (Re)viewing the past, present and future. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 36(6), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-10-2023-0176>
- Güneş, A., & Kaban, A. (2025). A Delphi study on ethical challenges and ensuring academic integrity regarding AI research in higher education. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 79(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.70057>
- Moore, A., Zerkee, J., Shuttleworth, K., Dowson, R., & Bird, G. (2021). Promoting a culture of openness: Institutional open access policy development and evaluation at a Canadian university. *Partnership: The Canadian Journal of Library and Information Practice and Research*, 16(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.21083/partnership.v16i1.6150>



- Pambudi, A., Haryaningsih, S., Andriani, F., & Berliyanti, S. (2025). The impact of bureaucracy on higher education: Lessons from Indonesia. *Policy Futures in Education*, 23(8), 1446–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103251367218>
- Ramaswamy, M., Marciniuk, D., Csonka, V., Colò, L., & Saso, L. (2021). Reimagining internationalization in higher education through the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals for the betterment of society. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 25(4), 388–406. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10283153211031046>
- Stepanovic, S. (2025). Open research data integration in universities: How data stewards adapt global policies to local contexts. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 76(13), 1754–1767. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.70034>



JAMMU AND KASHMIR
ECONOMIC ASSOCIATION

EMPOWERING ECONOMIC PROGRESS